EXHIBIT "G"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII 2 3 WAYNE BERRY, 4 Plaintiff,) CIVIL NO. 01-00446SPK-LEK 5 6 vs. FLEMING COMPANIES, INC.,) aka FLEMING FOODS, INC.,) aka FLEMING, DOE 8 INDIVIDUALS 1-50 and DOE PARTNERSHIPS, CORPORATIONS and OTHER) 10 ENTITIES 1-20, 11 Defendants. 12 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 13 14 15 The above-entitled matter came on for Further 16 Jury Trial commencing at 9:20 a.m. on Friday, February 28, 2003, Honolulu, Hawaii, 17 18 BEFORE: HONORABLE SAMUEL P. KING 19 20 United States District Judge 21 District of Hawaii 22 23 24 REPORTED BY: LISA J. GROULX, COURT REPORTER

Notary Public, State of Hawaii

APPEARANCES FOR PLAINTIFF: TIMOTHY J. HOGAN, ESQ. WESLEY W. ICHIDA, ESQ. LYNCH ICHIDA THOMPSON KIM & HIROTA 1132 Bishop Street, Suite 1405 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808) 528-0100 FOR DEFENDANTS: LEX R. SMITH, ESQ. ANN TERANISHI, ESQ. Kobayashi, Sugita & Goda First Hawaiian Center 999 Bishop Street, Suite 2600 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 (808) 539-8700 ALSO PRESENT: Ralph Stussi

INDEX PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: PAGES PHILLIP JOHNSON Direct examination by Mr. Hogan Cross-examination by Mr. Smith 12 MARK DILLION Direct examination by Mr. Hogan Cross-examination by Mr. Smith Redirect examination by Mr. Hogan 15 RALPH STUSSI Direct examination by Mr. Hogan 85 MARKED RECEIVED 18 EXHIBITS: Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 Defendant's Exhibit 244

- 1 Mr. Berry's code.
- Q. Now did you have reference to Mr. Berry's
- 3 code?
- 4 A. I did not. I never did, no, not before that
- 5 day I saw it. In fact, I've never seen the code,
- 6 because even when I found I had a copy of it in our
- 7 offices I disposed of it without looking at it.
- 8 Q. Now did you ever have anyone from Fleming
- 9 come to you to investigate whether there was any
- 10 willful infringement occurring at Fleming?
- 11 A. Any what kind of infringement? I'm sorry.
- 12 Q. Any kind of software infringement regarding
- 13 Mr. Berry's copyrights?
- 14 A. Did anyone come to me and what?
- Q. Ask you if you'd done anything to infringe
- 16 his copyrights?
- 17 A. No, not that I recall. I mean, once the
- 18 litigation started I guess we were wondering, well,
- 19 what's happening and what did we do and, you know,
- 20 some of those kind of issues came up. I'm not sure if
- 21 I'm answering your question.
- Q. Now I'd like to talk about how Hawaiian
- 23 Express accesses the freight control database at
- 24 Fleming. Are you familiar with a company known as
- 25 Hawaiian Express?

- 1 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And do they access the database remotely
- 3 using PC Anywhere?
- 4 A. Yes, they do.
- 5 Q. How long have they done that?
- 6 A. Well, they've done that since they took over
- 7 API's terminals in California.
- Q. Is it your testimony, sir, that it wasn't
- 9 Fleming people that were originally using --
- 10 A. Well, that's our -- that's correct. Fleming
- 11 -- the history of that is that API used to have
- 12 terminals in California. Their terminal personnel
- 13 used to access the database via PC Anywhere from each
- 14 of their terminals.
- Q. And isn't it true, sir, that that was the way
- 16 it was done right after the end of API and the
- 17 beginning of Fleming running its own logistics?
- 18 A. Well, the next thing that happened was that
- 19 API ceased operations. So the API personnel became
- 20 Fleming personnel. They moved to Hawaiian Express
- 21 terminals because the API terminals were no longer
- 22 going to be functioning and they accessed the database
- 23 from there as Fleming employees.
- 24 At some point those employees began receiving
- 25 their paycheck from Hawaiian Express but the

- 1 operations they performed and the way they performed
- 2 it was always the same.
- 3 Q. Right. But Hawaiian Express is not Fleming.
- 4 It is not a subsidiary, to your understanding, of
- 5 Fleming. They're not considered Fleming employees at
- 6 least when you associate with them, if you do?
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Is that a fair statement?
- 9 A. That sounds fair.
- 10 Q. It's a separate company?
- 11 A. It's a separate company.
- 12 Q. And would it be fair to say that it was a
- 13 competitor of API, does the same kind of work?
- 14 A. Well, not at that time. API was defunct.
- 15 Q. Right. API shutdown. But at the time when
- 16 API shut down this was a competitor taking over its
- 17 relationship with Fleming, correct?
- 18 THE COURT: How can you be a competitor
- 19 when API goes out of business?
- Q. Well, at least they were doing the same kind
- 21 of work, is that a fair statement, sir? They were
- 22 both freight logistics companies?
- 23 A. Yeah. When API existed it was a freight
- 24 logistics company. And Hawaiian Express was and is a
- 25 freight logistics company.

- 1 Q. Right. And when they were allowed to access
- 2 the network, were they not able to see at least the
- 3 visual screens that Mr. Berry had created when they
- 4 were accessing it remotely from California?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And --
- 7 A. They were looking at a representation of his
- 8 screens.
- 9 Q. A replica, is that a fair statement?
- 10 A. A representation, I would say.
- 11 Q. Right. But they were actually using the
- 12 computer that was at Fleming at the time, though,
- 13 remotely?
- 14 A. Yes, remotely.
- 15 Q. Were you aware that that was not permitted --
- 16 a permitted use in the EULA, Exhibit 53?
- 17 THE COURT: He said he never read it.
- 18 A. Well, I didn't read it in detail. And Mr.
- 19 Berry had detailed for us, in this August -- I believe
- 20 it was August of 1999 meeting with all of the API
- 21 personnel who had worked with Mr. Berry for years and
- 22 with the Fleming officers who were going to, from some
- 23 point in the near future, be responsible for those
- 24 personnel and their operations, Mr. Berry --
- 25 THE COURT: Just a minute. We'll

- 1 recognize the presence of this class. What class is
- 2 this?
- 3 (Brief in-court recess taken.)
- 4 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Hogan.
- 5 Q. (By Mr. Hogan) Mr. Dillon, going back to the
- 6 changes in the Freight Control System, the access
- 7 database, sir, again, your testimony was that none of
- 8 those were changes in reports, correct?
- 9 A. Going back to the access database?
- 10 Q. The changes in the screens, the buttons, the
- 11 things that you had testified to --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Those are not changes in reports; is that
- 14 correct, sir?
- 15 A. Well, depends on what you mean by reports.
- 16 You know, we had -- I don't know how to discuss it.
- 17 But some consider the screens are, like we talked
- 18 about earlier, they can be considered a dynamic
- 19 report. It's a view of the data.
- Q. But isn't it true that the querying of the
- 21 database that you used and API had used before Crystal
- 22 Reports in order to generate business documents for
- 23 Fleming to use as reports in their business?
- 24 A. I'm sorry. I missed something there. Can
- 25 you repeat the question?